

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

NORTHWEST LABORERS –
EMPLOYERS HEALTH & SECURITY
TRUST, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MAINTENANCE MAN UNLIMITED,
INC.

Defendant.

Case No. C06-1825RSL

ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANT'S
NOTICE OF APPEARANCE


This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte* on a Notice of Appearance purportedly filed on behalf of defendant Maintenance Man Unlimited, Inc. (Dkt. #3). The Notice, filed by “Bert Seeley,” does not comply with the requirement set forth in General Rule 2(g),¹ which provides that a “corporation . . . is required by law to be represented by an attorney” There is no indication that Mr. Seeley is an attorney. His Notice of Appearance does not contain a bar number, and he is not listed as an

¹ Even if the notice had been filed by an attorney, it would still violate Local Rule 10 because it does not include a bottom notation with contact information. Local Rule 10(e)(3).

1 attorney on the website of the Washington State Bar Association. Defendant is reminded
2 that it is required to be represented by counsel. Documents filed on its behalf by a non-
3 attorney may not be accepted or considered.

4 Accordingly, defendant's Notice of Appearance is STRICKEN.

5
6 DATED this 22nd day of March, 2007.

7
8 

9 Robert S. Lasnik
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25